Positioning and orienting a static radio-reflector

Moniez, M.
Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, IN2P3-CNRS*, Université de Paris-Sud,*
B.P. 34, 91898 Orsay Cedex, France. E-mail: moniez@lal.in2p3.fr

1 Introduction, notations

The baryonic oscillation (BAO) radio project plans to operate a series of parallel static reflectors of large parabolic cylinder shape. The sky will span over the acceptance lobe, which is defined by an angular sector of aperture Δ centered around a vertical plane (see Fig. 1).



Figure 1: *The reflector and its field of view, defined as the angular sector Δ that is focalised within the antenna's acceptance.*

The projection on the sky of this angular sector can be seen on Fig. 2. We will use the following notations:

- λ is the observatory's latitude,
- M_0 its position on Earth.
- A is the azimuth of the reflector (with respect to the meridian).
- Δ is the lobe's aperture. A celestial object can be detected only if it enters this lobe.
- P_0 and P'_0 are the intersections of the lobe's definition planes on the celestial sphere. $P_0P'_0M_0$ define a large circle on the sphere, with $(P_0\widehat{O}M_0) = (M_0\widehat{O}P'_0) = \pi/2$.
- δ is the declination of a celestial object.



Figure 2: *The celestial sphere with the projected position of the observer M_0 (latitude λ), the projected orientation of the reflector (A) and the projected portion of detectable sky (detection lobe), defined as the angular sector Δ of axis P_0P_0' (in grey), where P_0 and P_0' are the projections of the reflector's axis. $\theta/2\pi$ is the fraction of the day that an object of declination δ will spend within the detection lobe.*

The daily exposure of an object is given by the fraction of its corresponding parallel that is included in the acceptance lobe. On Fig. 2, this exposure is given by $\theta/2\pi \times 1\,day$. When λ, A and Δ are defined, it depends only on the declination of the object. From the figure, it can be seen that the daily exposure is in general not uniform for a random choice of λ and A. The objective of this paper is to systematically study the exposure as a function of the declination for any antenna configuration, and to provide an optimization tool.

2 The stereographic projection

The most useful tool to establish the exposure versus declination functions is the stereographic projection, because of the geometrical configuration includes only circles, and mainly large circles (see Fig. 3). Fig. 2 is then projected from the South pole on the equatorial plane (Fig. 4).

The main properties of the stereographic projection that we will use are the following:

- The projection of a circle on the sphere is a circle or a straight line on the plane.
- The projection of a large circle is a circle (or a straight line) that intercepts the equator in 2 diametrally opposite points.
- The projection of a meridian is a straight line that includes the origin.
- Angles between tangents on the sphere are invariant under the projection.



Figure 3: *Stereographic projection from the North pole.*

- Lengths and surfaces are NOT invariant under the projection, BUT for symmetry reasons, the scaling is constant along a given parallel. The fraction of a parallel that is included in the acceptance lobe will then be invariant under the projection.

On figure 4, the red circle is the equator (circle of radius 1), the crescent is the projection of the lobe, and the blue circle ($\mathcal{H}$) is the projection of the horizon of M_0. We do not restrict the generality assuming that the observatory is located in the northern hemisphere (in the contrary case, juste exchange north and south) and that $0 < A < \pi/2$. The projection of the visible part of the sky is then given by the shaded area (that contains M $(0 < x_M < 1)$, projection of M_0). The two circles, projections of the large circles defining the lobe, intercept each other at P_0 and P_0'. As P_0 and P_0' are on the same meridian (because they are antipodic), their projections P and P' are aligned with the origin. As a consequence of the angle conservation, the projection of the large circle defining the median plane of the lobe intercepts the observer's meridian projection (Ox axis) at angle A. We define I as the center of PP' segment.

The horizon circle ($\mathcal{H}$), projection of the large circle horizon of M_0, contains P, P', and intersects the equator at $S(0,-1)$ and $(0,1)$ with angle $\pi/2 - \lambda$. Its center is aligned with IC which is the median of PP' [a].

2.1 Useful relations

Our aim is to find the intersections of the lobe sides with a given declination circle. We then need to determine OI, IP and IC to establish the equations of the circles.
Fig. 2.1 shows some important geometrical relations involving the observer's position and its antipodic point, in the transverse view of the stereographic projection.

These relations are valid for any couple of antipodic points. The following series of relations allows to extract the most pertinent parameters of the lobe projection for subsequent calculation of the exposure time.

-
$$OS = 1 \tag{1}$$

[a] This circle is described by P and P' when the angle A varies.



Figure 4:

The stereographic projection of Fig. 2. This construction is drawn from point S as follows:
- H is such that $(\widehat{OSH}) = \lambda$.
- M and M', projections of M_0 and its antipod M_0' are the intersections of Ox with the circle of radius HS, centered on H.
- C' is the intersection of the vertical line containing H and the line defined by M (or M') and angle A (or $-A$).
- C is the intersection of the vertical line containing H and the line defined by M (or M') and angle $A + \pi/2$ (or $-A + \pi/2$).
- P and P' are the intersections of the line OC' and the circle $\mathcal{C}$ of radius CM centered on C.
- I is the center of PP'.
- The horizon circle $(\mathcal{H})$ is centered on V, intersection of $0x$ with IC.

- Let H be the center of MM' where M' is the projection of M_0', antipodic of M_0 :

$$OH = \tan \lambda \tag{2}$$

because H is the center of the circle of the MSM' right triangle, implying that $HS = HM$ and $(\widehat{HMS}) = (\widehat{MSH}) = \pi/4 + \lambda/2$ and $(\widehat{OSH}) = (\widehat{MSH}) - (\widehat{MSO}) = \lambda$.

- It also follows from this that

$$HM = HS = 1/\cos \lambda \tag{3}$$

- As the horizon circle $(\mathcal{H})$ intersects the equator with angle $\pi/2 - \lambda$, it is tangent to HS; then, taking into account that $OS = 1$, its center V is such that

$$OV = \cot \lambda \tag{4}$$

and its radius is

$$VS = 1/\sin \lambda. \tag{5}$$



Figure 5: *Vertical view of the stereographic sphere along the X axis, showing the relations between the projections M and M' of two antipodic points M_0 (at latitude λ) and M_0'. S is the south pole.*

$$OM^2 + OS^2 = MS^2$$

$$OM'^2 + OS^2 = M'S^2$$

$$MS^2 + M'S^2 = MM'^2$$

The two first relations reported in the third one give

$$MM'^2 = OM^2 + OM'^2 + 2OS^2$$

which is also equal to

$$MM'^2 = (MO + OM')^2 = OM^2 + OM'^2 + 2.OM.OM'$$

It follows that $OM \times OM' = OS^2 = 1$.

- Let C be the center of the circle $(\mathcal{C})$ projected from the lobe's median large circle.
  i) $(\mathcal{C})$ includes M, M', P and P', then its center C belongs to the median of MM' (defined by HC on Fig. 4).
  ii) The angle between Ox (projection of the meridian) and the tangent of $(\mathcal{C})$ at M is A, by virtue of the angle conservation. CM is then orthogonal to that tangent (see Fig. 4).
  It follows that :
$$HC = \frac{HM}{\tan A} = \frac{1}{\cos\lambda \tan A}. \tag{6}$$

- We also define C' as the center of the circle projected from the large circle perpendicular to the lobe at M_0.
  i) This projected circle includes M and M', then C' also belongs to the median of MM' defined by HC.
  ii) As the large circle is orthogonal to the lobe, its projected circle is orthogonal to $(\mathcal{C})$ at M ; it follows that $C'M$ is tangent to $(\mathcal{C})$ at M (see Fig. 4).
  iii) As P_0 and P_0' are the poles of the large circle, for symmetry reasons, this large circle intersects the P_0P_0' meridian with right angle. It follows that PP' (aligned with O because P_0 and P_0' are on the same meridian) is perpendicular to the projected circle, and subsequently aligned with its center C' (see Fig. 4).
  It follows that :
$$HC' = HM.\tan A = \frac{\tan A}{\cos\lambda}. \tag{7}$$

-
$$CC' = HC + HC' = \frac{1}{\cos\lambda\tan A} + \frac{\tan A}{\cos\lambda} = \frac{1}{\cos\lambda\cos A\sin A}. \tag{8}$$

- The angle α, as marked on Fig. 4 will be useful for subsequent calculations.
$$HC' = OH\tan\alpha = \tan\lambda\tan\alpha \ (using(2)). \tag{9}$$
  Combining with (7), one obtains
$$\tan A = \sin\lambda\tan\alpha. \tag{10}$$

- $CC'^2 = CI^2 + C'I^2$ and $C'I/CI = \tan\alpha = \tan A/\sin\lambda$ and (8) =>
$$IC\sqrt{1+\tan^2 A/\sin^2\lambda} = \frac{1}{\cos\lambda\cos A\sin A}. \tag{11}$$

Then

$$IC = \frac{\tan\lambda}{\sin A\cos A}\frac{1}{\sqrt{sin^2\lambda + \tan^2 A}} \tag{12}$$

and

$$IC' = IC\tan\alpha = IC\tan A/\sin\lambda = \frac{1}{\cos\lambda\cos^2 A}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sin^2\lambda + \tan^2 A}}. \tag{13}$$

- Using relations (2) and (7) one finds:

$$OC' = \sqrt{OH^2 + HC'^2} = \sqrt{\tan^2\lambda + \frac{\tan^2 A}{\cos^2\lambda}} = \frac{\sqrt{\sin^2\lambda + \tan^2 A}}{\cos\lambda}. \tag{14}$$

- $OI = IC' - OC'$. Using (13) and (14), one obtains, after simplification:

$$OI = \frac{\cos\lambda}{\sqrt{\sin^2\lambda + \tan^2 A}}. \tag{15}$$

- P and P' are the images of antipodic points, equivalently to M and M'. Using Fig. 2.1 the relation: $OH^2 + OS^2 = HS^2 = HM^2$ can be written $OI^2 + 1 = IP^2$. Then

$$IP = \sqrt{1 + OI^2} = \frac{1}{\cos A}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sin^2\lambda + \tan^2 A}}. \tag{16}$$

2.2 Expression of the exposure time

The final calculations are made in the rotated frame (XoY) as shown in Fig. 6. The parameters we will use are OI, Δ and ϕ that is given by

$$\tan\phi = IC/IP = \frac{\tan\lambda}{\sin A} \tag{17}$$

(from (12) and (16)). The angle Δ between the lobe's side circles is invariant under the projection, and is shown in Fig. 6. To find the fraction of time spent by an object at declination δ within the lobe acceptance, one needs to find the intersections of the declination circle $(\mathcal{C}_D)$ with the images of the large circles $(\mathcal{C}_1)$ of center $C_1\,(X_1, Y_1)$ and $(\mathcal{C}_2)$ of center $C_2\,(X_2, Y_2)$. The equations of $(\mathcal{C}_D)$ and $(\mathcal{C}_1)$ are:

$$X^2 + Y^2 = \tan^2(\pi/4 - \delta/2) \tag{18}$$

$$(X - X_1)^2 + (Y - Y_1)^2 = PC_1^2 \tag{19}$$

$$(19) \quad => \quad X^2 + Y^2 - 2(XX_1 + YY_1) + X_1^2 + Y_1^2 = PC_1^2 \tag{20}$$

$$=> \quad \tan^2(\pi/4 - \delta/2) - 2(XX_1 + YY_1) + OI^2 + IC_1^2 = PC_1^2 = PI^2 + IC_1^2 \tag{21}$$

$$=> \quad \tan^2(\pi/4 - \delta/2) - 2(XX_1 + YY_1) = PI^2 - OI^2 = 1 \ (from\ (16)) \tag{22}$$

using polar coordinates

$$X = R.\cos\theta_1 \qquad X_1 = OC_1.\cos\gamma_1 \tag{23}$$

$$Y = R.\sin\theta_1 \qquad Y_1 = OC_1.\sin\gamma_1 \tag{24}$$

$$(18) \quad => \quad R = \tan(\pi/4 - \delta/2) \text{ (positive because } -\pi/2 < \delta < \pi/2) \text{ and} \tag{25}$$

$$(22) \quad => \quad \tan^2(\pi/4 - \delta/2) - 2\tan(\pi/4 - \delta/2) \times OC_1.(\cos\theta_1\cos\gamma_1 + \sin\theta_1\sin\gamma_1) = 1 \tag{26}$$

$$=> \quad \tan^2(\pi/4 - \delta/2) - 2\tan(\pi/4 - \delta/2) \times OC_1.\cos(\theta_1 - \gamma_1) = 1 \tag{27}$$

$$=> \quad \cos(\theta_1 - \gamma_1) = \frac{\tan^2(\pi/4 - \delta/2) - 1}{2\tan(\pi/4 - \delta/2) \times OC_1} = \frac{-\tan\delta}{OC_1} \tag{28}$$



Figure 6: *The projections of the detection lobe (in grey), the horizon ($\mathcal{H}$ in blue) and a declination circle ($\mathcal{C}_D$ in red) in the rotated frame (see text). The radius of the declination circle is obtained as OM is obtained from Fig. 2.1.*

using the formula of the half-angle tangent.
γ_1 is given by:

$$\tan\gamma_1 = IC_1/OI = \frac{IP\tan(\phi-\Delta/2)}{OI} = \frac{\tan(\phi-\Delta/2)}{\cos\lambda\cos A}, \tag{29}$$

using (15) and (16).
OC_1 is given by (using also (15)):

$$OC_1^2 = OI^2 + IC_1^2 = OI^2(1+\tan^2\gamma_1) = \frac{\cos^2\lambda}{\sin^2\lambda+\tan^2 A}\left[1+\frac{\tan^2(\phi-\Delta/2)}{\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A}\right] \tag{30}$$

which can be written:

$$OC_1^2 = \frac{\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A + \tan^2(\phi-\Delta/2)}{1-\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A}. \tag{31}$$

It follows

$$cos(\theta_1-\gamma_1) = -\tan\delta\sqrt{\frac{1-\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A}{\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A+\tan^2(\phi-\Delta/2)}}. \tag{32}$$

The expression for the searched angles is given by (0, 1 or 2 solutions):

$$\theta_1 = \arctan\left[\frac{\tan(\phi-\Delta/2)}{\cos\lambda\cos A}\right] \pm \arccos\left[-\tan\delta\sqrt{\frac{1-\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A}{\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A+\tan^2(\phi-\Delta/2)}}\right] \quad (33)$$

where

$$\tan\phi = \frac{\tan\lambda}{\sin A} \;\; => \;\; \tan(\phi-\Delta/2) = \frac{\tan\phi-\tan(\Delta/2)}{1+\tan\phi\tan(\Delta/2)} = \frac{\tan\lambda-\sin A\tan(\Delta/2)}{\sin A+\tan\lambda\tan(\Delta/2)} \quad (34)$$

Choice of determinations:
- The fact that $\lambda > 0$ and $0 < A < \pi/2$ implies that the determination of the arctan (for angle γ_1) is between $-\pi/2$ and $+\pi/2$.
- As the two solutions correspond to the two determinations for the arccos, the choice of the first determination can be made between 0 and π.

Exchanging $-\Delta$ into $+\Delta$ and γ_1 into γ_2 gives the equivalent result for θ_2.

2.3 Conditions of observability

An object with declination δ is observable if
i) there is a solution for θ_1 or θ_2, and
ii) if this solution corresponds to a configuration above horizon, i.e. if the associated point on the sphere of Fig. 2 belongs to the half-sphere of pole M_0. The stereographic projection of the limit of this half-sphere is the horizon circle $(\mathcal{H})$. The intersections of $(\mathcal{C}_D)$ with $(\mathcal{C}_1)$ or $(\mathcal{C}_2)$ on the projection correspond to visibility limits if they are inside $(\mathcal{H})$, that contains the hatched lobe defined by P P' and M.
- The first condition (existence of at least one solution) can be expressed by:

$$|\tan\delta| < \sqrt{\frac{\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A+\tan^2(\phi+\Delta/2)}{1-\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A}} \quad (35)$$

or equivalently

$$(1-\cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A)\tan^2\delta < \cos^2\lambda\cos^2 A+\tan^2(\phi+\Delta/2) \quad (36)$$

- The second condition (visibility) is satisfied if the intersection is within the disk centered on V with radius $VP = 1/\sin\lambda$ of equation:

$$(X-OI)^2+(Y+IV)^2 < 1/\sin^2\lambda. \quad (37)$$

$$\textit{with } IV = OI\tan\alpha = OI\tan A/\sin\lambda \;(\textit{from Fig.4 and } (10)). \quad (38)$$

In polar coordinates (R,θ), this condition, applied to the intersection points, becomes

$$X^2+Y^2+2(Y.IV-X.OI)+OV^2 < 1/\sin^2\lambda \;\; <=> \quad (39)$$

$$\tan^2(\pi/4-\delta/2)+2\tan(\pi/4-\delta/2)\frac{\cos\lambda}{\sqrt{\sin^2\lambda+\tan^2 A}}\left(\frac{\tan A}{\sin\lambda}\sin\theta-\cos\theta\right)+\cot^2\lambda < 1/\sin^2\lambda \quad (40)$$

using (15), (4) and $R = \tan(\pi/4-\delta/2)$.

After simplification, one gets:

$$\left(\frac{\tan A}{\tan\lambda}\sin\theta-\cos\lambda\cos\theta\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sin^2\lambda+\tan^2 A}} < \frac{1-\tan^2(\pi/4-\delta/2)}{2\tan(\pi/4-\delta/2)} = \tan\delta \quad (41)$$

using again the half-angle tangent formula. As $\lambda > 0$, one obtains finally the condition:

$$\tan A\sin\theta-\sin\lambda\cos\theta < \tan\delta\tan\lambda\sqrt{\sin^2\lambda+\tan^2 A}. \quad (42)$$

2.4 Exposure time calculation

After establishing the list of lobe-crossings that are visible (above horizon), one has to distinguish different configurations:

- **No lobe-crossing (0 solution):** The declination circle is completely out or in the lobe. Assuming $\lambda > 0$, the daily exposure is 24 hours if $\delta > 0$ and if the North pole is in the lobe. The pole is in the lobe if C is not between C_1 and C_2 (see Fig. 6), condition expressed by:

$$(\tan\phi - \tan(\phi - \Delta/2)) \times (\tan\phi - \tan(\phi + \Delta/2)) > 0. \tag{43}$$

  Otherwise, the exposure time is zero.

- **Lobe-crossings happens and the pole is NOT in the lobe:** The exposure time at a given latitude is obtained by ordering the list of $0 < \theta_1 < 2\pi$ and $0 < \theta_2 < 2\pi$ values that satisfy the visibility condition (42) by increasing order ($\theta(i)$, i=1 to 4 at maximum) from zero, and account for the value $(\theta(i+1) - \theta(i))/2\pi \times 1\,day$ per lobe-crossing.

- **Lobe-crossings happens and the pole is in the lobe:** In this case the $\theta = 0$ point of the declination circle is within the detection lobe. The list of θ_1 and θ_2 that satisfy the visibility conditions has to start with the largest value (between 0 and 2π), followed by the others by increasing order from zero. Then the exposure time is obtained by the sum of values $((\theta(i+1) - \theta(i))/2\pi \times 1\,day$ starting from $i = 1$.

3 Some particular cases

- $A = 0$, antenna oriented North-South (Fig. 7.a).



Figure 7: *(a) The projected lobe when the antenna is oriented North-South ($A = 0°$). (b) The particular case of the equatorial location ($\lambda = 0°$ and $A = 0°$).*

$\phi = \pi/2$ and (33) simplifies into

$$\theta_1 = \arctan\left[\frac{\cot(\Delta/2)}{\cos\lambda}\right] \pm \arccos\left[\frac{-\tan\delta\sin\lambda}{\sqrt{\cos^2\lambda + \cot^2(\Delta/2)}}\right] \tag{44}$$

- If $\delta < \lambda - \pi/2$, the object is not visible.
- If $\lambda - \pi/2 < \delta < \pi/2 - \lambda$, then the object enters the visibility lobe once per day during the exposure time

$$t_{exp} = \frac{1\ day}{\pi} \left[-\arctan\left[\frac{\cot(\Delta/2)}{\cos\lambda}\right] + \arccos\left[\frac{-\tan\delta\sin\lambda}{\sqrt{\cos^2\lambda + \cot^2(\Delta/2)}}\right]\right] \tag{45}$$

using the positive determinations for the *arctan* and the *arccos* in this expression.
- If $\delta > \pi/2 - \lambda$ and $\tan\delta < \frac{\sqrt{\cos^2\lambda + \cot^2(\Delta/2)}}{\sin\lambda}$, the object is circumpolar and enters the visibility lobe twice per day during the total exposure time given by:

$$\begin{aligned} t_{exp} &= \frac{1\ day}{\pi}\left[2\arccos\left[\frac{-\tan\delta\sin\lambda}{\sqrt{\cos^2\lambda + \cot^2(\Delta/2)}}\right] - \pi\right] \\ &= \frac{1\ day}{\pi}\left[\pi - 2\arccos\left[\frac{\tan\delta\sin\lambda}{\sqrt{\cos^2\lambda + \cot^2(\Delta/2)}}\right]\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

- If $\tan\delta > \frac{\sqrt{\cos^2\lambda + \cot^2(\Delta/2)}}{\sin\lambda}$ (which is close to the condition $\delta > \pi/2 - \Delta/2$ if Δ is small), the object is near the pole and is always in the visibility lobe.

- If $A = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ (antenna on the equator), then the lobe is defined by two half-lines (Fig. 7.b), and the full sky is visible with uniform daily exposures $t_{exp} = \Delta/2\pi \times 1\,day$.

- $A = \pi/2$, antenna oriented East-West (Fig. 8.a).



Figure 8: *(a) The projected lobe when the antenna is oriented East-West ($A = \pi/2$). (b) The particular case of the polar location ($\lambda = \pi/2$ and $A = \pi/2$).*

$\phi = \lambda$ and (33) simplifies into

$$\theta_1 = \pi/2 \pm \arccos\left[\frac{-\tan\delta}{\tan(\lambda - \Delta/2)}\right] \tag{47}$$

From Fig.8a we find that the visibility conditions are simply

$$min(0, \lambda - \Delta/2) < \delta < \lambda + \Delta/2.$$

- $\lambda = \pi/2$ (antenna at the north pole).
  The lobe can be seen on Fig. 8.b. $\phi = \pi/2$ and (33) simplifies into

$$\theta_1 = \pi/2 \pm \arccos\left[-\tan\delta.\tan(\Delta/2)\right]. \tag{48}$$

  If $\delta > \pi/2 - \Delta/2$ then the object is always in the lobe; if $\delta < \pi/2 - \Delta/2$ then the daily exposure is given by $t_{exp} = (1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\arccos\left[\tan\delta\tan(\Delta/2)\right]) \times (1\ day)$.

4 Study of configurations

Fig. 9 shows the map of foreground galactic synchrotron emission at $\sim 74.cm$[b]. This foreground



Figure 9: *The Haslam map of the synchrotron galactic emission at 408 MHz (galactic coordinates).*

has to be considered together with the exposure maps given below, in order to optimize the position and azimuth of the antenna.

4.1 Nançay

Fig. 10 (left) gives the exposure time for an antenna with a $\Delta = 2°$ lobe, located in Nançay (France) as a function of the galactic coordinates for different orientations. Fig. 10 (right) gives the field covered by the antenna with a daily exposure exceeding the abscissa-value and a sky synchrotron temperature lower than the ordinate-value.

- For $A = 0°$, 21500 square degree (52%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure larger than 300s, and 2000 square degree (5%) are covered with an exposure larger than 1500s.

- For 45°, 17800 square degree (43%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure larger than 300s, and 2800 square degree (7%) are covered with an exposure larger than 1500s.

- For 90°, 12200 square degree (30%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure larger than 300s, and 3900 square degree (10%) are covered with an exposure larger than 1500s.

[b] http://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov/product/foreground



Figure 10:

Antenna located at Nançay latitude (France).
LEFT: Sky visibility (proportional to the Exposure time) as a function of galactic coordinates.
From top to bottom: antenna azimuth $A = 0°$ (North-South), $A = 45°$ and $A = 90°$ (East-West).
RIGHT: field covered by the antenna as a function of the minimum daily exposure and the maximum synchrotron sky temperature.

4.2 Morocco

Fig. 11 (left) gives the exposure time for an antenna with a $\Delta = 2°$ lobe, located in central Morocco (latitude 33°) as a function of the galactic coordinates for different orientations. Fig. 11 (right) gives the field covered by the antenna with a daily exposure exceeding the abscissa-value and a sky synchrotron temperature lower than the ordinate-value.

- For $A = 0°$, 28200 square degree (68%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure larger than 300s, and 1150 square degree (3%) are covered with an exposure larger than 1500s.
- For 45°, 22200 square degree (54%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure larger than 300s, and 2100 square degree (5%) are covered with an exposure larger than 1500s.
- For 90°, 9600 square degree (23%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure larger than 300s, and 4200 square degree (10%) are covered with an exposure larger than 1500s.



Figure 11:

Antenna located in central Marocco latitude.
LEFT: Sky visibility (proportional to the Exposure time) as a function of galactic coordinates.
From top to bottom: antenna azimuth $A = 0°$ (North-South), $A = 45°$ and $A = 90°$ (East-West).
RIGHT: field covered by the antenna as a function of the minimum daily exposure and the maximum synchrotron sky temperature.

4.3 South Africa

Fig. 12 shows the exposure time and the field coverage for an antenna located at Hartebeesthoek Radio Astronomy Observatory (South Africa).

- For $A = 0°$, 31600 square degree (77%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure larger than 300s, and 760 square degree (2%) are covered with an exposure larger than 1500s.

- For 45°, 24300 square degree (59%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure larger than 300s, and 1600 square degree (4%) are covered with an exposure larger than 1500s.

- For 90°, 8100 square degree (20%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure larger than 300s, and 4300 square degree (10%) are covered with an exposure larger than 1500s.



Figure 12:
Antenna located at Hartebeesthoek Radio Astronomy Observatory latitude (South-Africa).
LEFT: Sky visibility (proportional to the Exposure time) as a function of galactic coordinates.
From top to bottom: antenna azimuth $A = 0°$ (North-South), $A = 45°$ and $A = 90°$ (East-West).
RIGHT: field covered by the antenna as a function of the minimum daily exposure and the maximum synchrotron sky temperature.

4.4 Equator

Fig. 13 shows the exposure time at the equator. In this specific situation, the exposure time is uniform within the complete observable field, whatever be the azimuth of the antenna (but the observable field varies, see Fig. 13). For $A = 0°$, the daily exposure time is 480s on the full sky. For $A = 45°$, 29000 square degree (71%) of the sky are covered with a daily exposure time of 679s.



Figure 13:
Left: the exposure time as a function of the antenna azimuth (from 0 to 90°*) and the declination (from* -90° *to* 90°*) in the particular case of the equatorial location (*$\lambda = 0^\circ$*).*
Right: exposure time as a function of galactic coordinates when the antenna has azimuth $A = 0^\circ$ *(North-South) and* $A = 45^\circ$*.*